UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,337	07/26/2006	Pascal Joubert Des Ouches	128855	4835
27049 OLIFF & BERI	7590 11/10/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	ANDERSON, AMBER R		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27049@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,337	JOUBERT DES OUCHES, PA	ASCAL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMBER R. ANDERSON	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,8,9,14 and 15 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,10-13,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examinet	r election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/587,337 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to Election filed on August 6, 2009 in which claims 1-5, 7, 10-13, 16, and 17 have been elected with traverse. Claims 6, 8, 9, 14, and 15 have been withdrawn from consideration. The applicants' arguments are not persuasive. The species restriction is proper because the features of the different embodiments cannot be used in combination with each other. Further, the withdrawn claims will be allowed if an independent claim is found to be allowable and generic to all claimed species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by "anti-perforation fabric

improving airing". Specifically, how and by what means does a non perforated fabric

improve airing if there is no path for the air to move out of the helmet (or in)?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/587,337 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 7, 10-13, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by March (USPN 5,661,854).

Regarding Claim 1, March discloses protective helmet (10) comprising a deformable internal foam liner (Col. 3, lines 56-62 wherein the foam is an expanded foam and thus is deformable), a plurality of rigid external shell segments arranged on the foam liner (Fig. 1-6) so as to form at least one crown segment (25), at least one occipital segment (11, 17) and a plurality of transverse side segments (12, 13, 15, 16), and joining means (27) made of a flexible material performing joining between the shell segments (Fig. 6 & 7, Col. 3, lines 63-66, Col. 4, lines 41-45), helmet wherein the shell segments and the joining means made of flexible material are joined to the foam liner (via the segments 18-24) in such a way as to enable a slight sliding between the foam liner and at least a part of the shell segments (wherein the elastic material is attached at its perimeter to the inner shell segments and only at the center to the outer shell segments thus allowing a slight sliding of the outer shell segments to the foam liner which is attached to the inner shell segments; Col. 4, lines 7-8 and lines 41-45).

Regarding Claim 2, March discloses comprising at least one front segment (14).

Regarding Claim 3, March discloses comprising at least four transverse side segments (12, 13, 15, 16).

Art Unit: 3765

Regarding Claim 7, March discloses comprising a textile surface covering the shell segments (27, wherein the textile covers the inner surface of the shell segments).

Regarding Claim 10, March discloses wherein the joining means made of flexible material are formed by strips joining the shell segments to one another (27).

Regarding Claim 11, March discloses wherein the strips are made of elastomer (Col. 3, lines 63-66).

Regarding Claim 12, March discloses wherein the flexible joining material is made from an anti-perforation fabric improving airing (27, wherein the material doesn't appear to have any perforations).

Regarding Claim 13, March discloses wherein the foam liner comprises a plurality of cut-outs offset with respect to the separating gaps between the shell segments (Fig. 5, wherein the liner is cut into segments creating cutouts).

Regarding Claim 16, March discloses wherein the foam liner is made of polymer foam of the expanded polypropylene type presenting good compression shockabsorbing and flexion elasticity characteristics (Col. 3, lines 56-62).

Regarding Claim 17, March discloses comprising a plurality of additional shell segments joined to the foam liner and arranged facing the separating gaps between the shell segments (18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over March (USPN 5,661,854) in view of Howard (USPN 3,087,166).

March discloses the invention substantially as claimed above. However, March does not disclose comprising a headband adjustment means fixedly secured at least to the occipital segment. Howard teaches a flexible protective helmet (Fig. 1-3) with multiple panels (5, 6) connected to each other by elastic strip (7) and further comprises a headband adjustment means (20, 21, 35) secured to the occipital segment (Fig. 2 & 3, through holes 36-39 and wherein it is fixedly secured in that when the device is tied it cannot be taken out of the holes) in order to further tighten the helmet to the wearers head to provide a more snug and comfortable fit and prevent shifting of the helmet.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the helmet of March with an headband

adjustment means, as taught by Howard, in order to further tighten the helmet to the wearers head to provide a more snug and comfortable fit and prevent shifting of the helmet.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over March (USPN 5,661,854) and Howard (USPN 3,087,166) in view of Shifrin (USPN 5,515,546).

The combination of March and Howard disclose the invention substantially as claimed above. Howard further discloses wherein the adjustment means comprise a lace joining the shell segments to one another (20, 21, 35). Howard discloses a knot as the means to tighten and loosen the helmet (Fig. 1 & 3). Howard does not disclose a knurled knob cooperating with the lace and actuating tightening and loosening of the helmet. Shifrin teaches a helmet (Fig. 1) which comprises shell segments and pads (10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20) that are attached to each other via strips of material (26, 32, 34) and lace (40) that are tightened and loosened by a knurled knob (60) so as to easily loosen and tighten the helmet without undue effort.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the combination helmet of March and Howard with a knurled knob, as taught by Shifrin, in order to easily loosen and tighten the helmet without undue effort

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the design of the headwear of Carlisle (USPN 3,197,784) and Häberle (USPN 4,856,119).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMBER R. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571) 270-5281. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 8am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AMBER R ANDERSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3765 November 5, 2009

Application/Control Number: 10/587,337

Page 8

Art Unit: 3765

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3765